Lodging Tax Application Rubric

1. Identify the category(s) addressed in the application and provide a detailed description of the project for which funding is being sought.

| Points | Criteria | Score |
|--------|---|-------|
| 5 | Matches a category(s) and contains a clear detailed description of the | |
| | project | |
| 4 | Matches a category(s) and project description is adequate | |
| 3 | Matches a category(s) but description is not clear | |
| 2 | Does not clearly match a category and incomplete description of the project | |
| 1 | Does not match a category – DO NOT CONTINUE SCORING | |

2. How would this proposal promote and enhance Wapakoneta's appeal to visitors and tourists?

| Points | Criteria | Score |
|--------|---|-------|
| 3 | High probability the project would promote and enhance appeal | |
| 2 | Moderate probability the project would promote and enhance appeal | |
| 1 | Low probability the project would promote and enhance appeal | |

3. Describe how the proposed project would be publicized inside and outside of the City of Wapakoneta.

| Points | Criteria | Score |
|--------|--|-------|
| 3 | Effective plans for publicizing the project | |
| 2 | Adequate plans for publicizing the project | |
| 1 | Inadequate plans for publicizing the project | |
| N/A | Score 2 Points | |

4. Budget Information – Score as New Project OR Expansion of Current Project

New Project

- Provide a detailed budget which addresses project costs.
- Include a budget narrative which explains justification for funding.

| Points | Criteria | Score |
|--------|--|-------|
| 5 | Projects costs are reasonable, detailed and contain strong justification | |
| 4 | Projects costs are reasonable with adequate justification | |
| 3 | Projects costs are estimates but justified | |
| 2 | Projects costs are estimates without detail or sufficient justification | |
| 1 | Project costs are NOT reasonable, detailed or justified | |

Expansion of a Current Project

- Provide a detailed budget to explain how project expenses were funded in the past.
- Include a budget narrative explaining why the current funding stream is not adequate to expand the project.
- Provide a detailed budget addressing costs to expand the project.
- Include a budget narrative justifying the need for additional funds sought through the Lodging Tax Fund.

| Points | Criteria | Score |
|--------|---|-------|
| 5 | Strong explanation of all 4 elements and high probability of success | |
| 4 | Strong explanation of 3 of 4 elements and high probability of success | |
| 3 | Adequate explanations of elements and probability of success | |
| 2 | Adequate explanation of elements, but supplants current funding | |
| 1 | Weak budget and narrative and supplants current funding | |

5. How will this project be supported if funds are not awarded through the Lodging Tax Fund?

| Points | Criteria | Score |
|--------|---|-------|
| 3 | Applicant will continue to seek funding for this project | |
| 2 | Project will be scaled down but will continue to seek funding | |
| 1 | Project will not proceed without funding | |

6. Contact Information

| Points | Criteria | Score |
|--------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| 1 | Contact information is complete | |
| 0 | Contact information is not complete | |

| TOTAL | SCORE | |
|-------|--------------|--|
| . • | JUUIL | |

An average score of 15/20 is needed to fund the application

Questions regarding the Lodging Tax Fund Application should be directed to

Councilor Jessica Muhlenkamp. E-mail jmuhlenkamp@wapakoneta.net

Completed applications should be mailed to:

Lodging Tax Fund ATTN: Mayor Dan Lee 701 Parlette Ct. Wapakoneta, Ohio 45895

Electronic applications may be sent to both: